

**SUPREME COURT OF KENTUCKY**

**FEBRUARY 18, 2021 RULINGS**

**RELEASED: 10:00 A.M.**

15. ABBOTT, INC. V. SAMUEL GUIRGUIS,  
ET AL.

[2018-SC-0577-DG](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

HOPKINS

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY  
JUSTICE VANMETER - VACATING  
AND REMANDING**

MINTON, C.J.; CONLEY, HUGHES,  
KELLER, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. MINTON, C.J.; HUGHES,  
KELLER, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., CONCUR. CONLEY, J., CONCURS IN  
RESULT ONLY. LAMBERT, J., NOT  
SITTING.

**Questions Presented:**

Real Property. Champerty. Judicial  
Recusal. Issues involve a circuit court judge's  
refusal to recuse from consideration of a dispute  
regarding ownership of an elevated strip of land  
last used as a railway line in 2001, near which the  
judge prior to this litigation (and before he was a  
judge) was involved in an alleged trespass for  
purposes of hunting on land owned by one of the  
parties.

16. BRENT FOREMAN, ET AL. V. AUTO  
CLUB PROPERTY-CASUALTY  
INSURANCE COMPANY

[2018-SC-0618-DG](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

JEFFERSON

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY CHIEF  
JUSTICE MINTON - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. MINTON, C.J.; CONLEY,  
HUGHES, KELLER, NICKELL, AND  
VANMETER, JJ., CONCUR. LAMBERT,  
J., CONCURS IN RESULT ONLY.  
KELLER, J., CONCURS BY SEPARATE  
OPINION, IN WHICH CONLEY AND  
NICKELL, JJ., JOIN.

**Questions Presented:**

Insurance. Intentional-Loss Exclusion. Mental  
Incapacity. Opinion of the Court holding that the  
trial court erred by granting summary judgment in  
favor of appellants in declaratory action  
concerning coverage for property damage caused  
by a suicide attempt of an insured. Court held  
intentional-loss exclusion of insurance policy  
must be read from an objective viewpoint, but held  
an intentional-loss exclusion in a policy may be  
overcome if it can be proven that the insured that  
caused the loss was suffering from a lack of  
mental capacity at the time of the act under the  
standard set forth in *Stone v. Kentucky Farm  
Bureau Mutual Ins. Co.*, 34 S.W.3d 809 (Ky. App.  
2000).

17. MICHAEL LEE BARNETT V. CENTRAL KENTUCKY HAULING, LLC

[2019-SC-0064-DG](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

FAYETTE

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY CHIEF JUSTICE MINTON - AFFIRMING**

MINTON, C.J.; CONLEY, HUGHES, KELLER, NICKELL, AND VANMETER, JJ., SITTING. MINTON, C.J.; CONLEY, HUGHES, KELLER, NICKELL, AND VANMETER, JJ., CONCUR. LAMBERT, J., NOT SITTING.

**Questions Presented:**

Employment Law. Kentucky Civil Rights Act. Opinion of the Court affirming the trial court's dismissal of a complaint alleging wrongful termination of employment under the KCRA on grounds of associational disability, finding the plain language of the KCRA does not create a cause of action for associational discrimination – an employee's association with someone with a disability, such as a spouse.

18. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY V. SAMUEL DAUGHTERY

[2019-SC-0201-DG](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

JEFFERSON

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY CHIEF JUSTICE MINTON - REVERSING AND REMANDING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**Questions Presented:**

Criminal Law. KRS 17.500 and KRS 17.520. Sex Offender Registration Act (SORA). Commonwealth sought discretionary review from a Court of Appeals' decision that defendant was excepted from lifetime SORA registration due to a new single-course-of-conduct exception it created. Defendant pleaded guilty to three felony counts of distributing child pornography in violation of KRS 531.340. Violations of KRS 531.340 are crimes against a minor; KRS 17.520(2)(a) states that a conviction of two or more felony offenses against a minor warrant a lifetime SORA registration. Defendant's acts were three separate convictions per *Welborn v. Commonwealth*, 157 S.W.3d 608 (Ky. 2005). As such, defendant should have a lifetime SORA registration.

19. DAVID WAYNE DOOLEY V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2019-SC-0262-MR](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

BOONE

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY CHIEF  
JUSTICE MINTON - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**Questions Presented:**

Criminal Law. KRE 404(b). Admission of Tangible Evidence. KRE 615. Missing-Evidence Instruction. Issues addressed include proof of commission of another crime as evidence of motive and identity under KRE 404(b), sufficiency of foundational evidence required for admission of tangible evidence connected to murder, effect of witness's pre-testimony interaction with a law enforcement official under KRE 615, and rejection of missing evidence instruction in circumstances demonstrating loss of evidence due to mistake, rather than bad faith, by the Commonwealth.

20. CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER POPE V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2019-SC-0522-MR](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

LINCOLN

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY  
JUSTICE HUGHES - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**Questions Presented:**

Criminal Law. Jurisdiction of Law Enforcement Agency. KRS 431.007. KRS 218A.240. Opinion of the Court affirming appellant's convictions for multiple drug-related offenses. Court held local law enforcement agency did not lack jurisdiction to investigate in a neighboring county under KRS 431.007(1) because the statute only applies to powers of arrest of an out-of-county officer. Court held controlling statute concerning jurisdiction to conduct out-of-county investigations regarding controlled substances is controlled by KRS 218A.240(1), and further a motion to suppress is not the proper procedural vehicle to challenge a statutory violation where there is no violation of constitutional rights.

21. JOSHUA A. TOWE V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2019-SC-0694-MR](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

LAUREL

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY CHIEF  
JUSTICE MINTON - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**Questions Presented:**

Criminal Law. Jury Instructions. Sexual Abuse. Sodomy. Opinion of the Court affirming appellant's conviction and sentence for multiple counts of sexual abuse and sodomy. Court held the jury instructions given at appellant's trial did not create a "multiple-acts error" in violation of Double Jeopardy Clause because the instructions given for sexual abuse and sodomy sufficiently differentiated the anatomical distinctions in the conduct required for a finding of guilt for each offense. Court rejected appellant's sufficiency of the evidence argument regarding the trial court's denial of a directed verdict and found a single statement made by the prosecutor, which bolstered the witness's truthfulness, to be harmless error.

22. CHARLES MARTIN V.  
WARRIOR COAL LLC, ET AL.

[2020-SC-0055-WC](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

COURT OF  
APPEALS

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY  
JUSTICE HUGHES - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. MINTON, C.J.; CONLEY,  
HUGHES, KELLER, NICKELL AND  
VANMETER, JJ., CONCUR. LAMBERT,  
J., DISSENTS WITHOUT SEPARATE  
OPINION.

**Questions Presented:**

Workers' Compensation. KRS 342.040. Interest. Income Benefits. Opinion of the Court affirming Court of Appeals. Court held that absent the "without reasonable foundation" exception in KRS 342.040, the legislative intent of the 2017 statutory amendment of KRS 342.040, as discerned from the General Assembly's non-codified but express language regarding its intent, was to make all income benefit awards entered after June 29, 2017, subject to a 6% interest rate, although a benefit award entered after the effective date may relate back to events that occurred prior to the effective date of the statutory amendment.

23. MOHAMMAD ALI IQTAIFAN V.  
TARA W. HAGERTY, IN HER OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS JEFFERSON CIRCUIT  
COURT JUDGE, ET AL.

[2020-SC-0304-MR](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

COURT OF  
APPEALS

**OPINION OF THE COURT BY  
JUSTICE LAMBERT - AFFIRMING  
AND REMANDING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**Questions Presented:**

Family Law. Foreign Judgments. Writ of Mandamus. Opinion of the Court affirming the Court of Appeals' denial of a writ of mandamus against family court judge for failure to dismiss a petition for dissolution of marriage on grounds that the parties were already divorced under the laws of the Kingdom of Jordan. Court held that appellant/real party in interest was not entitled to writ relief of any kind, and specifically held that the family court was not acting outside its jurisdiction by not automatically giving full faith and credit to a divorce decree from a foreign country under the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution. Family court had jurisdiction and discretion to grant comity to the foreign decree, if appropriate in the judgment of the family court.

24. HAROLD EUGENE HAYES V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2019-SC-0486-MR](#)  
**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED**

PERRY

**MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE  
COURT - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

25. MARGIE J. JOHNSON V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2019-SC-0567-MR](#)  
**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED**

LOGAN

**MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE  
COURT - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. MINTON, C.J.; HUGHES,  
KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND  
VANMETER, JJ., CONCUR. CONLEY, J.,  
CONCURS IN RESULT ONLY.

26. FARAND SKINNER V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY [2019-SC-0589-MR](#) BRECKINRIDGE  
**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE COURT - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

27. JOHN BANNON V. FORD MOTOR CO., ET AL. [2019-SC-0718-WC](#) COURT OF APPEALS  
**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE COURT - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. MINTON, C.J.; CONLEY, HUGHES, NICKELL AND VANMETER, JJ., CONCUR. LAMBERT, J., DISSENTS BY SEPARATE OPINION IN WHICH KELLER, J., JOINS.

28. LORI C. MATTIE V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY [2020-SC-0090-MR](#) WHITLEY  
**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION OF THE COURT - AFFIRMING**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**OPINION AND ORDERS - February 18, 2021**

RACHELLE NICHOLE HOWELL V. KENTUCKY BAR ASSOCIATION

[2020-SC-0608-KB](#)  
**TO BE PUBLISHED**

IN SUPREME COURT

**OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT**

ALL SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**EXPEDITED ORDER GRANTING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW (NO ORAL ARGUMENT) - February 09, 2021**

HEATHER LERAE MOORE V. EDDIE DEAN MOORE                      [2020-SC-0553-DGE](#)                      WHITLEY

M. A. B. V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES                      [2020-SC-0572-DGE](#)                      BARREN

**ORDER GRANTING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW (ORAL ARGUMENT) - February 09, 2021**

PRIMAL VANTAGE COMPANY, INC. V. KEVIN O'BRYAN, ET AL.                      [2020-SC-0247-DG](#)                      JEFFERSON

**ORDER GRANTING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW (NO ORAL ARGUMENT) - February 09, 2021**

JOHN BRUNER, ET AL. V. DON COOPER, ET AL.                      [2020-SC-0426-DG](#)                      PULASKI

**ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW - February 09, 2021**

CITY COMMISSION OF BOWLING GREEN, KENTUCKY V. MFG CUMBERLAND TRACE, LLC, ET AL.                      [2020-SC-0134-D](#)                      WARREN

CHARLES V. NUNN, ET AL. V. FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, ET AL.                      [2020-SC-0155-D](#)                      SHELBY

RONALD COPLEY V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY                      [2020-SC-0164-D](#)                      RUSSELL

CHARLES MUCKER V. CHRISTOPHER CRIBBS, ET AL.                      [2020-SC-0210-D](#)                      FRANKLIN

**ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW - February 09, 2021**

BILLY D. BOWLING V. MAMMOTH CAVE ADVENTURES, LLC	<a href="#">2020-SC-0213-D</a>	BARREN
TINA JEWELL, ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF KEVIN JEWELL, ET AL. V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0224-D</a>	HARLAN
JENNIFER MCKIM, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF HENRY D. MCKIM V. ZHENG PING FU <b>KELLER, J., WOULD GRANT DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.</b>	<a href="#">2020-SC-0225-D</a>	JEFFERSON
DIANA DETERS V. KENTUCKY TOURISM, ARTS AND HERITAGE CABINET, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0234-D</a>	FRANKLIN
ROSCOE BEARD, ET AL. V. G. E. GRAY, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0249-D</a>	TAYLOR
AHLJIA MARQUISE GAILES V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0256-D</a>	HARDIN
BARRY P. MCNEES, ET AL. V. RC NASSAR, LLC	<a href="#">2020-SC-0270-D</a>	FAYETTE
ANTHONY BROWN V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0293-D</a>	CAMPBELL
RYAN S. HUBBARD V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0297-D</a>	JEFFERSON
LEO CORNELIUS SPURLING V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0302-D</a>	LYON
FREEDA M. LOUTHAN V. DOLLAR BANK, FSB, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0369-D</a>	JEFFERSON



**ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW - February 09, 2021**

FREEDA M. LOUTHAN V. MCKENZIE BANKING COMPANY, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0373-D</a>	JEFFERSON
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET, DEPARTMENT FOR NATURAL RESOURCES V. J.D. CARTY RESOURCES, LLC, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0378-D</a>	MAGOFFIN
ALVIN CRASK V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY EX REL. CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES	<a href="#">2020-SC-0396-D</a>	JEFFERSON
JAMES LATTANZIO, ET AL. V. SCOTT KELLER, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0409-D</a>	FAYETTE
ANDREW S. WALKER V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0417-D</a>	JEFFERSON
JESSICA MICHELLE HEADY MAUPIN V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0424-D</a>	JEFFERSON
DAMON L. GLENN V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0428-D</a>	JEFFERSON
RANDALL KIPER V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0432-D</a>	JEFFERSON
RONALD C. FAIRCHILD V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0442-D</a>	ROWAN
MICHAEL O'CONNELL V. CHRISTOPHER THIENEMAN	<a href="#">2020-SC-0453-D</a>	JEFFERSON

**ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW - February 09, 2021**

PROMISE WILCOX V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0454-D</a>	JEFFERSON
FRANK COLEMAN V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0457-D</a>	HARDIN
A. S. V. CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0459-DE</a>	FAYETTE
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY V. MARK MCKENZIE	<a href="#">2020-SC-0462-D</a>	MCCRACKEN
JANTZEN KNIGHT BRICKEEN V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0465-D</a>	MCCRACKEN
DAVID WAYNE FERGUSON V. TERESA LEAH FERGUSON	<a href="#">2020-SC-0466-DE</a>	MEADE
MARIE OSTENDORF V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0475-D</a>	KENTON
JEFFREY G. STAGNARO, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF DAVID BOUMA V. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0476-D</a>	CAMPBELL
PENNIE A. DETORRES V. JOHN G. DUBARRY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0484-D</a>	JEFFERSON
JOHN R. CECIL, JR. V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0489-D</a>	JEFFERSON

**ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW - February 09, 2021**

K. D. S. V. CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0493-DE</a>	SCOTT
BRACKEN COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION V. AUGUSTA INDEPENDENT BOARD OF EDUCATION, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0500-D</a>	FRANKLIN
KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEMS V. JANET MCKNIGHT CONLEY, J., WOULD GRANT DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0507-D</a>	FRANKLIN
LESTER KEITH HURT V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0508-D</a>	PERRY
JAMES POPE WOOLFOLK V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0509-D</a>	FAYETTE
S. B. AND E.B. V. CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0511-DE</a>	JEFFERSON
DEVONTE WEBB V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0522-D</a>	FAYETTE
AHMAD M. QASEM V. TINA A. QASEM	<a href="#">2020-SC-0531-DE</a>	PULASKI
A. S. V. CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, ET AL.	<a href="#">2020-SC-0536-DE</a>	FAYETTE
BRAY A. NELSON V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2020-SC-0582-D</a>	BOONE

**ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW AND DEPUBLISHING - February 09, 2021**

COPPAGE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. V. [2020-SC-0197-D](#) KENTON  
SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 1, ET AL.  
**KELLER, J., WOULD GRANT DISCRETIONARY  
REVIEW.**

WILLIAM L. HOLDER V. PARAGON HOMES, INC. [2020-SC-0235-D](#) JEFFERSON

MARK SAUNIER, ET AL. V. [2020-SC-0242-D](#) FAYETTE  
LEXINGTON CENTER CORPORATION, ET AL.  
**LAMBERT, J., NOT SITTING.**

**PETITION FOR REHEARING DENIED - February 18, 2021**

CHAZERAE ME'LON TAYLOR, SR. V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY <b>AND</b> CHAZERAE MEL'ON TAYLOR, SR. V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2019-SC-0066-MR</a>  <a href="#">2019-SC-0138-TG</a>	FAYETTE  FAYETTE
DAWAN Q. MULAZIM V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	<a href="#">2018-SC-0466-MR</a>	FAYETTE
SHAYNA HUBERS V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY <b>ORDER DENYING REHEARING AND MODIFYING OPINION</b>	<a href="#">2018-SC-0667-MR</a>	CAMPBELL
UPPER POND CREEK VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, INC. V. RONNIE KINSER, ET AL.	<a href="#">2019-SC-0563-DG</a>	PIKE

**ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION AND MODIFYING - February 18, 2021**

EDWARD ELDER V. KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEMS <b>ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION AND SUBSTITUTING OPINION</b>	<a href="#">2017-SC-0258-DG</a>	FRANKLIN
--	---------------------------------	----------

**ORDER DENYING TRANSFER - February 18, 2021**

BINGHAM GREENEBAUM DOLL, LLP V.  
MEREDITH L. LAWRENCE, ET AL.

[2020-SC-0455-T](#)

GALLATIN

**ORDER DISMISSING - February 04, 2021**

RAYMOND DESHAWN WILSON V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2020-SC-0481-D](#)

FAYETTE

**ORDER DISMISSING DUE TO DEFICIENCY**

KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

JOHN MCGUFFIN V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2020-SC-0568-D](#)

GRAYSON

**ORDER DISMISSING DUE TO DEFICIENCY**

KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

DARRIN DWAIN MORTON V.  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

[2020-SC-0586-MR](#)

LOGAN

**DISMISSED PER ORDER DENYING TRANSFER**

KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

RAYMOND WEATHERLY V. COMMONWEALTH  
OF KENTUCKY

[2020-SC-0594-MR](#)

FULTON

**DISMISSED PER ORDER DENYING TRANSFER**

KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

PEGGY COFFEY V. KMART, ET AL.

[2020-SC-0240-WC](#)

COURT OF  
APPEALS

**JOINT MOTION OF THE PARTIES TO DISMISS  
IS GRANTED**

KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**ORDER DISMISSING - February 04, 2021**

RODGER LEE COX V. COMMONWEALTH OF  
KENTUCKY

[2020-SC-0555-D](#)  
[2020-SC-0365-D](#)

MARION  
TAYLOR

**ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO WITHDRAW  
MOTION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW**

KELLER, LAMBERT, NICKELL, AND VANMETER,  
JJ., SITTING. ALL CONCUR.

**ORDER WITHDRAWING FROM KENTUCKY BAR ASSOCIATION - February 03, 2021**

JENNIFER HAGEMAN V.  
KENTUCKY BAR ASSOCIATION

[2021-SC-0043-KB](#)

IN SUPREME  
COURT

RANDALL STEPHEN JACKSON, JR. V.  
KENTUCKY BAR ASSOCIATION

[2021-SC-0044-KB](#)

IN SUPREME  
COURT