

**SUPREME COURT OF KENTUCKY  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR**

**WEDNESDAY, APRIL 21, 2021**

- 9:00 a.m. TONYA FORD V. COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
(2019-SC-0538-DG)**  
[APPELLANT BRIEF](#)  
[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)  
[REPLY BRIEF](#)  
Criminal Law. RCr 11.42. Issues include application of “law of the case” and the propriety of the Court of Appeals’ review only for manifest injustice because of appellant’s counsel’s filing of a brief in that court failing to comply with CR 76.12 and prior court orders.  
Discretionary Review granted: 2/12/2020  
Taylor Circuit Court, Judge Samuel T. Spalding  
Counsel for Appellant: Steven N. Potter  
Counsel for Appellee: Ken W. Riggs
- 10:00 a.m. DAVID JONES, ON BEHALF OF ALL PERSONS SIMILARLY SITUATED,  
ET AL. V. CLARK COUNTY, KENTUCKY, ET AL.  
(2020-SC-0107-DG)**  
[APPELLANT BRIEF](#)  
[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)  
[REPLY BRIEF](#)  
KRS 441.265. Constitutional Law. Issues involve whether a prisoner, all charges against whom ultimately were dismissed unconditionally, bears responsibility for a county jailer’s bill of over \$4000 for fees associated with his confinement for nearly 14 months.  
Discretionary Review granted: 8/12/2020  
Clark Circuit Court, Judge Jean Chenault Logue  
Counsel for Appellant: Gregory A. Belzley and Matthew W. Boyd  
Counsel for Appellee: Jeffrey C. Mando

**ALL ARGUMENTS WILL BE STREAMED ONLINE AT  
[WWW.KET.ORG/SUPREMECOURT](http://WWW.KET.ORG/SUPREMECOURT).**

**SUPREME COURT OF KENTUCKY  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR**

**WEDNESDAY, APRIL 21, 2021 (CONTINUED)**

**11:00 a.m. CHERYL CATES V. KROGER, ET AL.  
(2020-SC-0275-WC)**

**[APPELLANT BRIEF](#)**

**[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)**

**[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)**

**[REPLY BRIEF](#)**

Workers' Compensation. KRS 342.730(4). Issues include the constitutionality of KRS 342.730(4) of the Workers' Compensation Act, as amended in 2017.

Administrative Law Judge, Judge Jefferson Vimont Layson III

Counsel for Appellant: Jeffery A. Roberts

Counsel for Appellee: Sharlott K. Higdon

Counsel for Attorney General: S. Chad Meredith and Matthew F. Franklin

**ALL ARGUMENTS WILL BE STREAMED ONLINE AT  
[WWW.KET.ORG/SUPREMECOURT](http://WWW.KET.ORG/SUPREMECOURT).**

**SUPREME COURT OF KENTUCKY  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR**

**THURSDAY, APRIL 22, 2021**

**9:00 a.m. KEENELAND ASSOCIATION, INC. V. ROY J. PRATHER, ET AL.  
(2020-SC-0067-DG)  
[APPELLANT BRIEF](#)  
[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)  
[REPLY BRIEF](#)**

**-AND-**

**SALLEE HORSE VANS, INC. V. ROY J. PRATHER, ET AL.  
(2020-SC-0075-DG)  
[APPELLANT BRIEF](#)  
[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)  
[REPLY BRIEF](#)**

Farm Animals Activity Act. KRS 247.4025. Immunity. Horse Racing Activity. Issues involve whether the Court of Appeals erred in finding that the immunity afforded by the Farm Animals Activity Act did not apply when the injuries claimed occurred during a “horse racing activity.” A second issue is whether the exclusion of immunity applies to claims against both Keeneland and Sallee Horse Vans.

Discretionary Review granted: 7/1/20

Fayette Circuit Court, Judge Pamela R. Goodwine

Counsel for Appellants: Chapman Hoskins, Steven B. Loy, Jillian D. House, and Gregg E. Thornton

Counsel for Appellees: Martin S. Summe and Nicholas D. Summe VanMeter, J., not sitting.

**10:30 a.m. LINDA DAVIS V. PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY  
(2020-SC-0168-DG)  
[APPELLANT BRIEF](#)  
[APPELLEE BRIEF](#)  
[REPLY BRIEF](#)**

Motor Vehicle Reparations Act. Uninsured Motorist Coverage. Horse-drawn Buggy. The issue is whether a horse-drawn buggy was a “trailer of any type” under policy language and whether the policy provided uninsured motorist coverage for a collision between the insured’s vehicle and a horse-drawn buggy.

Discretionary Review granted: 7/01/20

Wayne Circuit Court, Vernon Miniard, Jr.

Counsel for Appellant: W. Matthew Garmon

Counsel for Appellee: Timothy L. Mauldin and Ryan E. Galloway

**ALL ARGUMENTS WILL BE STREAMED ONLINE AT  
[WWW.KET.ORG/SUPREMECOURT](http://WWW.KET.ORG/SUPREMECOURT)**